and he did mention that Section 47 had not been clear to him, and that was why Delegate Jett and I fixed up the proposed amendment.

Mr. Lazowska said that it was because of his confusion that two particular cessions have been made using the old terms, like Delegate Willoner asked. One was the Fort Dietrich cession, and the other was the National Institutes of Health cession.

Mr. Lazowska had a suggested amendment to GP-5, but then when we read him the Jett-Storm amendment, he agreed that this would clear up any questions or objections that the Federal government might have, and he also made a suggestion which was incorporated into the suggested amendment. I thought maybe I had better correct that one thing.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any other questions?

Delegate Pullen.

DELEGATE PULLEN: Mr. Chairman, I might be able to help out in this matter, but I suggest that even what I have to say should be rechecked, because I have been out of the office connected with this for three years.

.13